



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/082,431	02/22/2002	Wei Zhang	SUN-P6557	6363	
25920 7	590 08/15/2003				
	PENILLA, LLP		EXAM	EXAMINER	
710 LAKEWAY DRIVE SUITE 170		·	HE, A	HE, AMY	
SUNNYVALE	C, CA 94085		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2858		
•			DATE MAILED: 08/15/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
_	10/082,431	ZHANG, WEI				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Amy He	2858				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears n the cov r sheet with the correspondence address Peri d for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thitatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI y will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi						
/ <del>_</del>	2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict Application Papers	ction and/or election requirement.					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>Febuary 22, 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
_ ' '	documents have been received.					
	documents have been received in A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Interno See the attached detailed Office actions</li> </ul>	national Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim t	for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul><li>a)  The translation of the foreign late</li><li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim</li></ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F	PTO-948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2858

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1-3, 13-19 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamamoto (U. S. Patent No. 5, 9000, 735).

Referring to claims 1, 14 and 16, Yamamoto discloses an apparatus/method for determining the critical length of a conductor (in Figures 2 and 8; column 6, line 59 - column 7, line 47) comprising:

testing means (pads 1) for providing a test signal (stress current) to at least one DUT (2), said at least one DUT including at least one test strip (2) of a metal under test, said at least one test strip formed from a series of segments of the metal (2, 3 and 4)under test;

means (external current source, column 6, lines 59-60) for providing a test signal to said testing means (1);

Art Unit: 2858

means (test structure as shown in Figure 2) for determining the critical length (column 7, lines 34-48) of a conductor from said output signal.

Referring to claims 15 and 17, Yamamoto discloses determining the critical length of a conductor use Blech's Law (column 7, line 65).

Referring to claims 2 and 18, Yamamoto discloses a plurality of DUTs (2, 3 and 4), and wherein segments of each DUTs has a unique length.

Referring to claims 3 and 19, Yamamoto discloses detecting electromigration in said DUT using Blech's Law (column 7, lines 34-48; line 65).

Referring to claims 13 and 29, Yamamoto discloses detecting a rising voltage drop (column 7, lines 58-60; Figures 3B and 4B) across the metal strips under test.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 4-12 and 20-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto (U.-S. Patent-No.-5, 9000, 735).

Referring to claims 4 and 20, Yamamoto discloses the apparatus of claim 2.

Yamamoto does not disclose a decoder and a selection circuitry for each DUT. A

person of ordinary skill in the art would find it obvious to modify Yamamoto to include a

Art Unit: 2858

decoder and a selection circuitry for controlling which DUTs' critical length is to be determined, as a matter of obvious design choice, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known tool for a known purpose on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice *In re Leshin*, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA).

Referring to claims 5-6 and 21-22, Yamamoto discloses that the testing means (1) is embodied within an integrated circuit, mounted on a hot chuck.

Referring to claims 7 and 23, Yamamoto discloses the apparatus of claim 3 and 18 wherein the metal strips can have any size and length (column 7, lines 3-4). Yamamoto does not specifically disclose that the metal strips have a length ranging from 10um to 320um. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to modify the metal strips of Yamamoto's to have a length ranging from 10um to 320um, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 220 F. 2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

Referring to claims 8 and 24, Yamamoto discloses that the metal strips are coupled together with segments of a connecting metal (3 and 4).

Referring-to-claims-9-and-25, Yamamoto-discloses-that-the-metal strips-are-coupled together with segments of a connecting metal (3) wherein the connecting metal (3) is wider than the corresponding metal strips (2). Yamamoto does not specifically disclose that the connecting metals are three times wider than the corresponding metal

Art Unit: 2858

strips. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Yamamoto to have the connecting metals three times wider than the corresponding metal strips, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum value of a variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F. 2d 272, 205 USPQ 215, (CCPA 1980).

Referring to claims 10-12 and 26-28, Yamamoto discloses that the metal strips and the connecting metal are coupled with vias (5) formed of an electromigration resistant metal, such as tungsten (column 7, lines 14-16).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Bui (U. S. Patent No. 6, 320, 391)--Interconnection device for low and high current stress electromigration and correlation study.

Bothra et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6, 191, 481)--Electromigration impeding composite metallization lines and methods for making the same.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should-be-directed-to-Amy-He whose telephone-number-is-(703)-305-3360. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5pm Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, N. Le can be reached on (703) 308-0750.

Art Unit: 2858

The official Fax numbers for the organization are (703-872-9318) Before-Final and (703-872-9319) After-Final Office actions. Any inquiry of a general nature relating to this application should be directed to the receptionist at (703) 305-4900.

August 7, 2003

N. Le Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800 Page 6